

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/063,313	04/10/2002	Syng L. Paik	57264US 6889		
408 7	590 05/13/2004		EXAMINER		
LUEDEKA, 1	NEELY & GRAHAM,	YOON, TAE H			
P O BOX 1871		ART UNIT PAPER NUM			
KNOXVILLE, TN 37901			1714		
			DATE MAILED: 05/13/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						1			
		Ар	plication No.		Applicant(s)				
		10	/063,313		PAIK ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Exa	aminer		Art Unit	• •			
		Tae	e H Yoon		1714				
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this commun Reply 	nication appears	on the cover shee	et with the co	rrespondence ad	ldress			
THE N - Extens after S - If the I - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sions of time may be available under the provisions folk (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- period for reply specified above is less than thirty (5 period for reply is specified above, the maximum s e to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). munication. 30) days, a reply withir tatutory period will app y will, by statute, cause	In no event, however, m the statutory minimum of ly and will expire SIX (6) the application to becor	ay a reply be time of thirty (30) days MONTHS from the ne ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timel ne mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .							
	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
•									
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-14</u> is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restri	e withdrawn fror			·				
Application	on Papers								
9)[] 1	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) 🔲 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examir	ner. Note the atta	ched Office	Action or form Pi	ГО-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have documents have of the priority donal Bureau (PC	ve been received ve been received ocuments have b CT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Applicatio	on No d in this National	Stage			
Attachment	•		 □	O =	DTO 442)				
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date		Paper 5) 🔲 Notice	iew Summary (· No(s)/Mail Dat e of Informal Pa :		O-152)			

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-7, drawn to a process of making a bandage, classified in class 264, subclass 414+.
- II. Claim 8, drawn to an elastic bandage, classified in class 604, subclass 3-4+.
- III. Claims 9-14, drawn to an elastic bandage or band, classified in class 602, subclass 42+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case said product can be made by obtaining a web by calendaring and crosslinking with heat as evidenced by US pat. 6,689,248, abstract and col. 2, lines 59-67, or the instant process (the use of electron beam) can be used to make a hydrogel of polymer as evidenced by US pat. 5,219,325, col. 1, lines 6-9, to cure adhesive coated web as evidenced by US pat. 6,506,447, col. 11, lines 11-23 and to cure a backing layer as evidenced by US publication 2002/0098347 A1, [0022].

Art Unit: 1714

Inventions III and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it does not require particular amounts of the secondary elastomeric compound and inorganic filler. The subcombination has separate utility such as a bandage.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II and III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Mr. LaRose on May 10, 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-7.

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Claims 8-14 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37

CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1714

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The claim recites a step of providing an extruded web by an extruder, but there is no description of such step in the originally filed specification. Sections [0020]-[0021] of the specification teach mixing and extrusion and extrudate thereof, and said extruded web is not taught. Actually, the purpose of using an extruder is to obtain a homogenous mixture, and it is well known in the art that web is produced by calendaring as evidenced by US pat. 6,689,248, col. 1, lines 6-15. Thus, applicant failed to describe how to obtain said extrude web by an extruder adequately.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1714

The recited phrase "wherein the percentages by weight of the mixture or based on the total weight of the mixture" is confusing.

The recited "block" copolymer in claim 6 lacks antecedent basis, and section [0013] recites styrene-butadiene rubber (SBR) copolymer encompassing a random copolymer. Thus, it is confusing.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Pat. 5,981,823 teaches slitting the web roll in order to obtain several rolls of bandages in Fig. 10.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H Yoon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1714

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tae H Yeon Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

THY/May 11, 2004